





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,324	12/30/2005	Jong-Cho Lcc	KR.0004	1294
	7590 07/05/2007 & WHITT PLLC		EXAMINER	
ONE FREEDO	M SQUARE		ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/540,324	LEE, JONG-CHO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/540,324

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lacourcelle (USPN 5,966,975) in view of Mukherjee (USPN 5,808,262), Rupert (USPN 4,786,777) and Anthony et al. (USPN 5,636,545).

Lacourcelle discloses a conductor wire that is passed through a bath of molten metal. The wire is heated while passing through the bath, which improves adhesion of the zinc coating. The wire is then drawn through a series of dies. There are means for guiding and driving the wire.

Lacourcelle does not disclose the specifics about temperature, drawing, dies, and guiding.

Mukherjee discloses the manufacturing of a spark erosion wire. The wire has a zinc coating. The zinc pot is at about 60-70°C. The wire after coating is then heated at higher temperatures, but that are less than 419°C in order to absorb the zinc and form a desirable surface structure. At annealing the wires are about 3.0 mm in diameter. The wire is then reduced using dies. Fine wires may have reductions of up to 96% reduction of area. Annealing is conducted in a pipe type furnace. Wires transport at speeds of about 40 mm/per minute in the furnace for 0.25 mm wires.

Art Unit: 1725

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the temperatures and furnace type, as taught by Mukherjee in the Lacourcelle process because are standards parameters for zinc coated wire production.

Rupert discloses a wire electrode trimming process. Wire electrodes used for electrical discharge spark erosion have been dressed by grinding or mechanical cutting and one form is a taper.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form a taper as taught by Rupert, in the Lacourcelle process because it is a variation of a wire tip.

Anthony et al. discloses a diamond wire die. The wire die bore has a first taper, an end taper and a mid-section that is straight. Wires processed through the die range from 0.03 to 5.0 mm diameter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a die, as taught by Anthony et al. because it is variation of standard dies used in manufacturing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,324

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 25, 2007.

M. Alexandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725

Page 4